	Case 4:09-cv-03362-CW	Document 145	Filed 12/08/11	Page 1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ul	NITED STATES 1	DISTRICT COU	JRT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	OAKLAND DIVISION				
12	In re ACCURAY INC. SECUE LITIGATION	RITIES )	Master File N	o. 4:09-cv-03362-CW	
13			) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>		
14	This Document Relates To:  OF ALLOCATION  ALL ACTIONS.  PROCEEDS		] ORDER APPROVING PLAN TION OF SETTLEMENT		
15			PROCEEDS		
16			TIME: 2	eptember 1, 2011 :00 p.m.	
17			CTRM: T	he Ĥonorable Claudia Wilken	
18					
19					
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	635713_1				

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiffs' application for approval of the Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises;

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated as of April 27, 2011 (the "Stipulation").
- 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
- 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

12/8/2011 DATED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

28

1	Submitted by:
2	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP
3	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM
4	Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
5	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545
6	415/288-4534 (fax)
7	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
8	& DOWD LLP JOY ANN BULL
9	
10	s/ Joy Ann Bull
11	JOY ANN BULL
12	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
13	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)
14	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
15	CHRISTOPHER J. KELLER JONATHAN GARDNER
16	MARK GOLDMAN CAROL C. VILLEGAS
17	140 Broadway New York, NY 10005
18	Telephone: 212/907-0700 212/818-0477 (fax)
19	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	